

The influence of the Wolff's cluster structure on the determination of the critical dynamic exponent

P. R. A. Campos*, N. G. F. de Medeiros[†] and R. N. Onody[‡]

Departamento de Física e Informática

Instituto de Física de São Carlos

Universidade de São Paulo - Caixa Postal 369

13560-970 - São Carlos, São Paulo, Brasil.

Abstract

Recently we reported some interesting features of the Wolff's algorithm behavior when applied to the site-bond-correlated Ising model. Our main results were that a stronger correlation diminishes the autocorrelation time but it does not change or affect the critical dynamic exponent. In this paper, we analyse the Wolff's cluster structure and how it varies with the spatial correlation. The fractal dimensions are determined for several values of the magnetic atoms concentration and spacial correlation, giving an estimative of the critical dynamic exponent.

PACS numbers: 05.50.+q; 64.60.-i; 75.40Mg

*prac@ifqsc.sc.usp.br

[†]ngfm@ifqsc.sc.usp.br

[‡]onody@ifqsc.sc.usp.br

With the advent of the cluster algorithms, a great improvement in the simulations of magnetic spin systems has been possible (Swendsen 1987, Wolff 1989). When applied to the usual dilute Ising model (Hennecke and Heyken 1993), such algorithms exhibit a performance which is better than those of single-spin flip techniques. It was verified that as the concentration of non-magnetic atoms increases the corresponding autocorrelation time decreases. Recently, we obtained very similar results about the performance of Wolff algorithm in the site-bond correlated Ising model (Campos and Onody 1997, Campos and Onody 1998). It was shown that the algorithm becomes even more robust in the presence of spatial correlation. This is a very important feature, since for the single-spin flip algorithms (Metropolis, Glauber) the correlation weakens their performance, and so a poor statistical data can result. In this letter we deal with the analysis of the Wolff's clusters structures and their importance in obtaining the critical dynamic exponent.

The site-bond correlated Ising model (hereafter, SBC model) was proposed in a way to explain additional effects not predicted by the simple dilute Ising model, and experimentally verified in the antiferromagnetic compound $KNi_xMg_{1-x}F_3$ (Aguilar, Engelsberg and Guggenheim 1986). The main attribute of this model is the existence of a local spatial correlation. In the SBC model, the presence of impurities in the neighbourhood of a given (nearest neighbors) pair of magnetic atoms can *modify the strength* of the exchange coupling constant between these two atoms. Moreover, in the limit of maximum correlation α , the exchange interaction can be even suppressed.

The SBC model Hamiltonian is defined as follows (Aguilar, Moreira and Engelsberg 1986)

$$H = - \sum_{i,\delta} J_{i,i+\delta} (\sigma_i \sigma_{i+\delta} - 1) \quad (1)$$

where $\sigma_i = \pm 1$ and δ denotes an elementary lattice vector. The exchange interaction $J_{i,i+\delta}$ is given by

$$J_{i,i+\delta} = J \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_{i+\delta} [(1 - \alpha) \varepsilon_{i-\delta} \varepsilon_{i+2\delta} + \alpha] \quad (2)$$

where $J > 0$. The random variables ε_i can take the following values: one with probability C and zero with probability $1 - C$, where C is the concentration of magnetic atoms. The parameter α correlates the interaction between sites i and $i + \delta$ with the magnetic occupancy of the sites $i - \delta$ and $i + 2\delta$. The uncorrelated dilute Ising model is re-obtained in the limit $\alpha = 1$. For $0 < \alpha < 1$, the bond between i and $i + \delta$ is only weakened by the absence of a magnetic atom at $i - \delta$ or $i + 2\delta$. The limit $\alpha = 0$ corresponds to the maximum correlation, i.e., two magnetic first neighbor sites are connected by an active bond only if their nearest-neighbor sites along the line joining them are also present. This maximum limit ($\alpha = 0$) defines at $T=0$ a new percolation problem, with the concentration threshold $p_c = 0.7405$ (Campos, Pessoa and Moreira 1997). For $\alpha \neq 0$ the critical concentration is like that the usual site percolation.

Following the Fortuin-Kasteleyn (Fortuin and Kasteleyn 1969) arguments and the definition of the model hamiltonian, we can derive the bond activation probabilities required in the construction of the clusters. The probabilities are defined as follows (Campos and Onody 1997):

$$\begin{cases} p_{i,i+\delta} = 0 & \text{if } \sigma_i \neq \sigma_{i+\delta} \\ p_{i,i+\delta} = 1 - e^{-2K} & \text{if } \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+\delta} \text{ and } \varepsilon_{i-\delta}\varepsilon_{i+2\delta} = 1 \\ p_{i,i+\delta} = 1 - e^{-2\alpha K} & \text{if } \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+\delta} \text{ and } \varepsilon_{i-\delta}\varepsilon_{i+2\delta} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

between sites i and $i + \delta$.

We simulated the SBC model for some values of concentration C and correlation α . Due to the large geometric fluctuations, an accuracy statistical study and a great computational efforts were performed. In Table 1, we show our results for the critical dynamical exponents z for some values of the parameters. These results were obtained by a log-log plot of the autocorrelation time τ versus L , since as expected τ obeys a power law like $\tau \sim L^z$.

The values of the critical dynamical exponent z for some values of correlation α and concentration C .

	$C = 0.80$	$C = 0.70$
$\alpha = 0.1$	0.26	0.10
$\alpha = 0.3$	0.34	0.11
$\alpha = 0.5$	0.38	0.33
$\alpha = 1.0$	0.40	0.38

(4)

As we can see, there is an increasing of the z exponent with augmented values of correlation. This behavior is verified for all concentration values. In order to compare the performance of the Wolff dynamics with others, we need to rescale τ (Wolff 1989), since one Wolff step has a computational cost of the cluster size $|S|$. So, we define the Wolff autocorrelation time by the relation

$$\tau_w = \tau \frac{\langle |S| \rangle}{CL^d} \quad (5)$$

where d is the lattice dimensionality, and CL^d is the total magnetic mass of the system. Here, we restrict our study to the case $d = 2$. Like τ , the Wolff time τ_w also exhibits a power law of the form $\tau_w \sim L^{z_w}$, where z_w is the Wolff critical dynamical exponent.

Another way to estimate the z_w values, consists in regarding a power law behavior for the mean cluster size $\langle |S| \rangle \sim L^{Df}$, where Df is the fractal dimension of the Wolff clusters. In this case, we can calculate z_w through the equation

$$z_w = z - (d - Df). \quad (6)$$

In the case of the usual Ising model, the advantage of this procedure is the removal of one source of error, since $\langle |S| \rangle = \langle \chi \rangle \sim L^{\frac{z}{\nu}}$, where χ is the magnetic susceptibility and $\frac{z}{\nu}$ is the ratio of the exactly known Ising exponents (Wolff 1989).

In a recent work, we verified no dependence of the z_w values with the parameters C and α (Campos and Onody 1998). We found a critical dynamical exponent value around zero. This result indicates that the Wolff algorithm circumvents almost completely the critical slowing down phenomenon, and so making possible a more accuracy statistical analysis, since there is no statistical correlation between the successive configurations generated by the algorithm.

But this an intriguing thing. With this assumption, the scenario presented for the z exponent is not valid for z_w . The only way to support these results regards the changes occurred in the fractal dimension of the Wolff clusters with the parameter α in order to keep constants the z_w values. The study of the cluster structures are our main focus on this work.

In Figure 1, we present two pictures of the Wolff clusters produced in different generations. On the top of the figure, we have $\alpha = 0.1$ and $C = 0.7$. On the bottom, $\alpha = 1.0$ and the same value for C . The configurations were generated in the respective critical temperature. As we can see, the clusters for $\alpha = 0.1$ are more compact than those for $\alpha = 1.0$. This means that the value of $(d - Df)$ is smaller for $\alpha = 0.1$ than for $\alpha = 1.0$. For this reason, the tendency of increasing of z with α , is not predicted for the z_w values.

We acknowledge Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e tecnológico (CNPq) and Fundação de Amparo a pesquisa do estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) for financial support.

References

- [1] U. Wolff, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 361 (1989). Ulli Wolff, Nucl. Phys. B322, 759 (1989).
- [2] R. H. Swendsen and J. S. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 86 (1987).
- [3] M. Hennecke and U. Heyken, J. Stat. Phys. 72, 829 (1993).
- [4] J. Albino O. de Aguiar, M. Engelsberg and H. J. Guggenheim, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 54-57, 107 (1986).
- [5] J. Albino O. de Aguiar, F. G. Brady Moreira and M. Engelsberg, Phys. Rev. B 33, 652 (1986).
- [6] P. W. Kasteleyn and C. M. Fortuin, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 26 (Suppl.), 11 (1969); C. M. Fortuin and P. W. Kasteleyn, Physica 57, 536 (1972).
- [7] P. R. A. Campos, L. F. C. Pessoa and F. G. B. Moreira, Phys. Rev. B 56, 40 (1997).
- [8] P. R. A. Campos and R. N. Onody, Phys. Rev. B 56, 14529 (1997).
- [9] P. R. A. Campos and R. N. Onody, Int. J. Mod. Phys. C 9, (1998).